App. F. IX-4

Previous Referenees 1938. (Legis) File No. 29838

Serial Nos. 2 & 11.

Letter References

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Ten only.

Part B for January 1939.

SUBJECT.

Amendment of the Village Panchayat Act— proposal.

WD 0296—GPB—22,500—13-8-37

Mirza Nazeer Hussain
8/7/39.

Legis 29-38-2



NOTIFICATION.

No. 1021—L. C. Bangalore, dated the 7th December 1938.

Under Rule 8 of the rules for the conduct of business of the Mysore Legislative Council, the accompanying Bill further to amend the Village Panchayet Act is published for general information with the statement of objects and reasons.

By Order,

A. SUNDARARAJA RAO,

Secretary,

Mysore Legislative Council.

Bill further to amend the Village Panchayet Act.

Whereas it is expedient to further amend the Village Panchayet Act; It is hereby enacted as follows :—

After Clause (3) of Section 54 of the Village Panchayet Act, add the following as Clause (4) and renumber the existing Clauses (4) and (5) as (5) and (6) respectively :—

"(4) If, after enquiry made, the Deputy Commissioner so directs, the period of supersession with all the consequences aforesaid shall, from time to time, be continued by an order published as aforesaid until such date as may be fixed by the Deputy Commissioner for the re-establishment of the Village Panchayet."

Statement of objects and reasons.

At present there is no provision in the Village Panchayet Act for the extension of the period of supersession of village panchayets. It is, therefore, considered necessary to add a clause under Section 54 of the Act to provide for the continuance of the period of supersession, wherever necessary. This provision is similar to the one contained in Section 42 (4) of the Mysore Minor Municipalities Act, 1933. The present bill is intended to make the necessary provision in this respect.

Printed by the Superintendent at the Government Press, Bangalore.

1021

Serial No.

notification No. 1021-L.C. Bihar, dated 7/12/38
(marked as serial 1)

1) Sent with a draft letter to the D.Cs of Distts calling for info from Govt.
2/24/14-
22/12/38

To The D.Cs of Dts
No. 2 5232-39
of 23/12/38
calls for certain information.
Govt A (orig call)
29/12

P.V. [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

2 Amendment of V.P Act

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

3) Sober with draft reminders to the D.Cs. of Hassan and Bangalore for approval

8
9/1/39

4) Issued 9.1.39

Issued Ls 55-72-73 Dy 9-1-39 × and forwarded on the same

5) Replies have been received from six D-C's. - except Bangalore & Hassan Dists. These two Nos have been reminded.

6) From the replies recd. it is found that only 3 V.P.S in Tumkur Dist & 2 in Hassan Dist have been disposed

2
10/1/-

Tumkur Dist
1 Pattar
2 Nonavinakere
3 Sanivara.

Hassan Dist
1. Kenchapa
2. Doddaramaiah

Bangalore Dist
Godikempapura

(Under Entry)

10/1/39

Pleader Writer under Act Convicted
B

PREV. SECRETARIAT 4659/P 24. JAN 1939

3

Serial No.	
10	From the Deputy Comm, Hassan Dt No. C. 2439/39 dated 16-1-39 States that no Village Panchayets have so far been superseded in his District From the Dy Comm, Bangalore Dt
11	No Cr(a) 2. 2596/38-39 dated 19-1-39 Furnishes the information regarding the Village Panchayets superseded in his Dt 2) The above information was called for in connection with the Bill to amend the Village Panchayet Act providing for the extension of the period of supersession of Village Panchayets. The main file on the subject has been submitted to the Under Secretary, Protection Br. This may also be submitted to him. Rowe 24/1/39 (Under Secy Protection Branch) 24/1.
	3) This will be filed along with the other letters from the other D.C's on the subject and Which is linked to the main Village Panchayet (amend) Bill file if approved. Rowe 24-1-39

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0544—GPB—30,000—2-9-83

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section ~~29-3~~ Leps

File No. 29-36

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. L S. 232- 39

To The D C S Vavuniya

Sir

- With reference to the Bill further to amend the village Communities Act proposed to be introduced in the ensuing Legislative Council, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish the following information immediately :—

1). The names of the village Communities which have superseded in your District under the Act

2) the reasons for their

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue.... 23-12-38

Signed by ..... for S. H.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

2

~~supersession~~ of the period of
New supersession
The matter may be kindly
~~treated~~ as very urgent

Thanking

Yours
22/11/88.

Registrar
from A.P. Joseph

Memo No 48440 dt 23-12-88.

Copy sent to the Reviser
for info.

Yours
for
Registrar
22/12/88

3

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. L 5232-39 / Legis 29-38-3 *Bangalore, dated* 23rd December 1938.

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Local Self-Government Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioners
of Districts

SIR,

With reference to the Bill further to amend the Village Panchayet Act proposed to be introduced in the ensuing Legislative Council, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish the following information immediately:-

1. The number and names of the Village Panchayets which were ~~xxxxxxx~~ superseded in your District under the Act.

2. The reasons for and the period of, such supersession.

The matter may be kindly treated as very urgent.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mir Sajan Imam
Secretary to Government,
L.S.G. Department.

Tel. Address :—Depcom.
CHITALDRUG :

In reply, please refer to
No. M2.L.C.2597/38-39
Enclosures :

3280 2 5

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated Chitaldrug, 27th December *193* 8.

Legis 29-38-5

LOCAL SELF GOVT. 29 DEC. 1938 MYSORE GOVT. OF

FROM M. NAVANEETHAM NAIDU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To

P. H. Krishna Rao Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:- Re supervision of village panchayats under the Village Panchayat Act.

With reference to your letter No.L.5232-39 dated 23rd December 1938 on the subject noted above I have the honor to state that no village panchayats were superseded so far in this District under the Village Panchayat Act.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

Try: Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
(Absent from station)

P. K. Krishna Rao Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department,Bangalore.

Subject:- Re supervision of village panchayats under the Village Panchayat Act.

With reference to your letter No.L.3838-39 dated 23rd December 1938 on the subject noted above I have the honor to state that no village panchayats were supervised during the month of January in the District under the Village Panchayat Act.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Tiv: Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.
(Absent from station)

3301

6

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

No. D7-2748/38.
Encl. one.

Dated, Mysore, the 24/29th Decr. 193 8.

Legis 29-38-7

FROM

M. G. MEHKRI, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local Self-Government Dept., *Bangalore.*

SIR,

SUPERSESSION OF VILLAGE PANCHAYETS.

With reference to your letter No.L.5232-39 dated 23rd instant on the above subject, I have the honour to furnish the required information in the - enclosed statement.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. G. Mehkri
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

KRG,

7

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Statement showing the number of Village Panchayets superseded.

Serial No.	Names of the Village Panchayets.	Reasons for and the period of their supersession.
1.	Doddarasinakere (Maddur Taluk)	The Village being factious persistently defaulted in the performance of the -- obligatory duties and so the Pandhayet has been superseded from 7-8-1934.
2.	Koppa (Maddur Taluk)	The Village Panchayet which had been - superseded for the same reason has been reconstituted from 1-7-1938.

M. S. [illegible]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

KRG.
29-12-38.

3278/L 8

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

No. L.M6.C. 2231-298/38-39
Encs.

Dated Shimoga, the ...26th December...193 8

Legis 29-38-5

FROM

M. SESHADRI, ESQ., M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Shimoga

To

SECY. LOCAL SELF GOVT. 29 DEC. 1938 GOVT. OF MYSORE

P.H. KRISHNA RAO, Esquire, M.A.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local Self-Government ..... *Department,*
Bangalore.

29/14 **Subject:—** Village Panchayets - Number superseded in the Shimoga District.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. L. 5232-39 dated 23rd instant on the above subject, I have the honour to state that no Panchayet has been superseded in this District under the Village Panchayet Act.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.
(absent from Head quarters)

3350/L-9

URGENT.

In all future correspondence please quote this
No. C.Per.A. 16/37-38.
Encls.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated Kolar, the 3rd January *1938.*

Legis 29-38-8

FROM

G. Sundara Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

SEC'Y. LOCAL SELF GOVT. 5. JAN 1938 GOVT. OF MYSORE.

TO

P. H. Krishna Rao, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Local Self-Government Department,
Bangalore.

2
3111

SIR,

Subject:- Information re: supersession of Village Panchayets in Kolar District.

- - -

Referring to your letter No: L.5232-39 dated 23rd December 1938 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that no Village Panchayet was superseded in this District under the Act.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

MVK.

3386
2/10

In all future communications please quote
No. L.Dis.VPC. 2065/38-39.
Enc. one

Tel. Address.—" DEPCOM "

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, the 6th January *1939*.

Legis 29-38-9 ~~29-38-8~~

FROM

E. V. GANAPATI IYER, ESQ., B.SC.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

P.H. Krishna Rao, Esq:, M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
L.S.G. Dept: *Bangalore.*

SIR,

Subject:—

With reference to your letter No.L. 5232-39 dated 23rd December 1938, requesting me to furnish information re: the number and names of Village Panchayets which were superseded in this District under the Village Panchayet Act, the reasons for and the period of their supersession etc., I have the honor to forward, herewith a statement furnishing the required information as desired.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD.6/1.

Statement showing the number and names of Village Panchayets which were superseded in this District under the Village Panchayet Act, the reasons for and the period of them supersession etc., prepared as per L.S.G. Secretary's No.R. 52-3?-39 dated 23rd December 1938.

Sl. No.	Taluk.	Name of village panchayet.	Period of their supersession.	Reasons for the supersession.	Remarks.
1.	Gubbi	Nittur.	from 1-7-1936 to 31-12-1938.	1. The negligence of the Panchayet to recover the arrears and in allowing the arrears to accumulate to the extent of more than Rs.2500/-. 2. The default of the members in not paying the arrears for years together. 3. The negligence of the Panchayet to improve Village Panchayet properties such as park etc., and village sanitation in spite of repeated instructions issued. 4. Failure to discharge obligatory and discretionary duties and failure to conduct meetings regularly.	Orders have been issued reconstituting the Village Panchayet from 1-1-1939.
2.	Tiptur.	Nonavinakere.	From 1-6-36 to 31-12-38.	1. The negligence of the Panchayet to recover arrears 2. The default of the members in not paying the arrears for years together. 3. The negligence of the Panchayet to improve the V.P.	Action has been taken to reconstitute the Panchayet from 1-1-1939.
3.	Kunigal.	Amruthur.	From 1-6-36 to 1-6-1939.	1. The negligence of the Panchayet to recover the arrears & allowing it to accumulate to the extent of Rs.8000/- 2. The default of the members in not paying the arrears for years together. 3. The negligence of the V.P. to improve village sanitation. 4. Failure to discharge obligatory and discretionary duties and failure to conduct meetings regularly.	

Deputy Commissioner

No. C. 2439/39.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT.

Dated Hassan, the 16th January *193* 9.

Legis 29-38-10

From

T. Ramaiya, Esq., B.A., (Hons)

Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

P.H. Krishna Rao, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to Government,

LocalSelf Gowernemnt Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No: L 5232-39 dated 23rd December 38 re: the supersession of Village Panchayets in this District, I have the honor to state that no Village Panchayets have been so far superseeded in this District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

as.

14

Statement showing the number, names etc., of the Village Panchayets which were superseded in the Bangalore District under the Act.

Taluk	Name of the Village Panchayet which was superseded.	Period of supersession.	Reasons for supersession.
Bangalore ..	Jodi Kempapura village.	5-12-1935 to 5-12-1938	For non-functioning of the duties of the Panchayet owing to the apathy of the Jodidars and other elected members of the Panchayet.

D.R. Hemaneedhaiah.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

gvm/-